IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JACK E. SHOCK,

Plaintiff : Civil Action 2:08-cv-630

v. : Judge Sargus

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL : Magistrate Judge Abel

SECURITY,

Defendant

ORDER

:

On March 2, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued a report and recommendation finding that the decision of the Administrative Law Judge was not based on substantial evidence, and this matter should be remanded for further proceedings.

Objections to this report and recommendation were due by March 19, 2008.

No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed. On *de novo* review as required by 28 U.S.C. §636(b), I find the report and recommendation well taken. Accordingly, it (Doc. 13) is ADOPTED. It is hereby ORDERED that this matter be REMANDED to the Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings, including a determination, utilizing the testimony of an impartial vocational expert, of whether Plaintiff is able to perform substantial gainful work, considering

Plaintiff's residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. This determination shall take into account a finding that Plaintiff lacks the ability to do any work which requires forceful, repetitive use of his hands or fine manipulation of his hands.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge